New Empirical Models for Estimating Permeability in One of Southern Iranian Carbonate Fields using NMR-Derived Features
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Abstract
Permeability is arguably the most important property in evaluating fluid flow in the reservoir. It is also one of the most difficult parameters to measure in field. One of the main techniques for determining permeability is the application of Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) logging across the borehole. However, available correlations in literature for estimating permeability from NMR data do not usually give acceptable accuracy in carbonate rocks. In this research, two new empirical models are introduced for quantifying NMR extracted permeability in carbonate formations. These models are validated for three carbonate formations, namely, Yamama, Gadvan, and Daryan in one of Iranian offshore reservoirs in the Persian Gulf. The first empirical model applies the pore-related NMR data such as free and bound fluid parameters. The second model, however, is a novel approach that uses the geometric features of the occurring humps in T2 distribution. For assessing the performance of the proposed models, statistical parameters as well as graphical tools are utilized. It is found that the for the examined case studies, geometric approach gives more accurate and reliable estimates compared to the available models in the literature including Timur-Coates and SDR methods.
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Introduction
Measuring the permeability is a great challenge for petroleum engineers and petrophysicists dealing with hydrocarbon reservoirs. Numerous techniques of permeability measurement have been developed so far, including core analysis, pressure-transient analysis, in-situ measurements (i.e., formation testers) and well logging. Coring operation is in the need of a rig and special equipment, which makes it very costly and time-consuming. The pressure-transient analysis gives only an average value for the permeability of the reservoir. Furthermore, some in-situ tests such as modular dynamic testers (MDT) are restricted to a few points along the wellbore. Well logs, on the other hand, could provide continuous profiles of permeability along the boreholes using the frequently available log data [1].

Applying Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) as a petrophysical tool has recently gained a lot of attention in petroleum industry [2,3]. NMR has several applications in determining rock and fluid properties including distribution of pore size for porosity characterization, absolute permeability, fluid types existing in porous media, diffusivity and viscosity of the fluids in the
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pore spaces, and capillary pressure [4-9]. NMR is an indirect permeability measurement, which can be estimated by establishing reasonable correlations between the well-log data and rock permeability [1]. In NMR logging, the primary natural magnetic field of the earth has been used for measuring reservoir properties, however, artificial magnetic fields have been employed lately for the establishment of these measurements [10-12]. In sandstone reservoirs, NMR tools can be simply used for evaluating the formations, even though a considerable challenge is in front of NMR measurement and interpretation in carbonate formations [1, 13]. In other words, despite sandstone reservoirs, in which porosity is a dominant variable influencing petrophysical parameters such as irreducible water saturation and permeability, a simple relation is not existing between porosity with permeability in carbonate formations [14-16]. These additional dependencies include a number of paradigms namely, heterogeneous pore connectivity, pore distribution, pore type, and size of the grains. Thereby, heterogeneity in porosity imposes a fundamental limitation on permeability estimation with respect to the NMR-derived porosity model. The main reason for this phenomenon is the large variations in micro-geometry of primary porosity in the carbonate rocks than that of the sandstone one [17-21]. Additionally, many geological processes termed as diagenesis, create secondary porosity in the carbonate rock. These are known as dissolution, repeated cmentation, fracturing, and dolomitization. Forecast of permeability is largely dependent upon the alteration in the different types of pore connectivity [2, 22].

There are numerous investigations in literature focusing on predicting permeability by NMR techniques in which Timur-Coates [23] and Schlumberger-Doll-Research (SDR) [24] correlations are the most commonly used models [25, 26]. Recently, several investigations have been conducted through the literature to explore this parameter by different methods in various reservoir types, including the work of Zayed et al. [27] in Egyptian gas reservoirs, Di and Jensen [28] in tight reservoirs, Zhu et al. [29] in tight sandstone reservoir using artificial intelligence, and Zhang et al. [30] by applying digital rock modeling and NMR measurements.

The aforementioned models have proper performance in sandstone reservoirs; however, their default constants should be tuned when they will be applied in carbonate rocks. Despite the prementioned tuning, applications of SDR [24] and Timur-Coates [23] models beyond their development range may lead to large deviations when predicting permeability. Therefore, there is a great requisite for developing a generalized model for fast and efficient permeability estimation in carbonate reservoirs.

The main objective of this study is to extend a generalized model using advanced regression tool for estimating permeability in a carbonate reservoir. For this purpose, a bulk of petrophysical data including conventional logs and NMR data was utilized in one of the offshore Iranian oil wells. The main focus of this study is characterizing permeability using advanced regression tool in three Iranian carbonate formations including Yamama, Gadvan, and Daryan. For the first time in literature, two generalized and new types equations based on the geometrical and pore-derived features are developed for permeability estimations in carbonate formations. The pre mentioned models for permeability are mainly developed on the basis of limited database, or special sandstone cases; thereby, the literature models need to be improved by establishing universal models. The abovementioned features can be easily extracted from NMR measurements. In the first step, the data was separated into the two groups of test and train sets. Using the train set, the empirical model was extended, and then, checking the prediction potential was implemented via the test set. It is worthwhile mentioning that the proposed models here were developed considering various input variables. Afterward, the proposed equations here were evaluated with respect to the core analysis and existing conventional permeability models, Timur-Coates [23] and SDR [24]. The main benchmarks used for the pre mentioned comparison are visual tools and statistical quality parameters.
Finally, a sensitivity analysis was carried out to show the impact of each parameter on permeability estimation in this study.

**Data Gathering**

In this research, a set of petrophysical data as well as core measurements along three carbonate formations of an offshore well is used. A MATLAB code is developed to extract TCMR (PHI), BVF, CMFF, T2LM and CLF parameters from a CMR-type NMR log. Geometric features of T2 distribution curve, including the number of humps, their representative amplitude and corresponding time are also calculated. A total of 461 permeability measurements of core samples were also used to calibrate and validate the models. Roughly 80% of the data are used for model construction and the remaining 20% are utilized for testing and validation.

**Modeling**

In order to calculate permeability from NMR data, we developed two models using regression analysis. The first model correlates permeability with pore-related parameters extracted from NMR data. It should be noted that SDR and Timur–Coates models are also pore-related models. Four different types of pore-related permeability equations are proposed and compared (Eqs. 5 to 8). In the second model, however, the geometric features of T2 distribution curve are used. This is a new approach that, to the best of our knowledge, is introduced for the first time in literature. We examined five different forms of geometric equations to find the best fit to experimental data points (Eqs. 10 to 14).

The proposed models are calibrated and validated for NMR data of three carbonate formations, namely, Yamama, Gadvan, and Daryan in one of Iranian offshore reservoirs in the Persian Gulf. Apart from statistical parameters such as root mean square error (RMSE) and coefficient of determination ($R^2$), several pore volume plots are sketched to graphically examine the accuracy of the developed models. Eq. 1 presents the function for RMSE, in which, superscripts $\text{Pred.}$ and $\text{Meas.}$ denote predicted (model) and measured (core) permeability values, respectively.

\[
\text{RMSE} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (K_i^{\text{Pred.}} - K_i^{\text{Meas.}})^2} \quad (1)
\]

**Pore-related Model**

In this model we used the key parameters related to NMR porosity that have the most significant impact on permeability estimation based on previous studies \[25\]. Eq. 2 represents the general format of pore-related equation.

\[
K = f(\text{PHI}, \text{T2LM}, \text{BVF}, \text{CMFF}, \text{CLF}) \quad (2)
\]

where PHI is NMR porosity, T2LM is the logarithmic mean of T2 distribution, BVF is bound volume fluid, CMFF is free fluid, and CLF (curve length factor) is defined as follows:

\[
\text{CLF} = (\text{CL} - 4.3) \times 10^4 \quad (3)
\]

\[
\text{CL} = \sum_{n=1}^{N_a} \sqrt{(\text{Amp}_n - \text{Amp}_{n-1})^2 + (\log T2_n - \log T2_{n-1})^2} \quad (4)
\]
In Eq. 4, $N_a$ is the number of amplitudes in T2 distribution curve which is equal to 30 for the CMR tool employed in this study. The following equations represent different forms of pore-related permeability estimation:

$$K = a \times CLF^b \times PHI^c$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)
$$K = a \times CLF^b \times TL2M^c$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)
$$K = a \times CLF^b \times PHI^c$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)
$$K = a \times CLF^b \times PHI^c \times TL2M^d \times BVF^e \times CMFF^f$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

The goodness-of-fit of these functions are discussed in section 4.

**Geometric Model**

As mentioned earlier, we developed a model for permeability estimation that directly utilizes some features of T2 distribution humps. The general geometric equation could be written as:

$$K = g(AMP1, AMP2, AMP3, T1, T2, T3, TL2M, PHI)$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

In Eq. 9, the symbols Amp1, Amp2, Amp3, T1, T2, T3, TL2M and PHI denote the amplitude of first hump, the amplitude of second hump, the amplitude of third hump, the corresponding time of Amp1, the corresponding time of Amp2, the corresponding time of Amp3, logarithmic mean of T2 time distribution, and NMR porosity, respectively. The following five different forms of equations are used to estimate permeability:

$$K = \left[a \cdot AMP1^b + c \cdot AMP2^d + e \cdot AMP3^f \right] \times PHI^g$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)
$$K = \left[a \cdot AMP1^b + c \cdot AMP2^d + e \cdot AMP3^f \right] \times TL2M^g \times PHI^h$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)
$$K = \left[a \cdot AMP1^b \cdot T1 + c \cdot AMP2^d \cdot T2 + e \cdot AMP3^f \cdot T3 \right] \times PHI^g$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)
$$K = \left[\left(a \cdot AMP1 + b \cdot AMP2\right)/(c \cdot AMP3)^d\right] \times PHI^e$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)
$$K = \left[\left(a \cdot AMP1^b + c \cdot AMP2^d\right)/(e \cdot AMP3^f)^d\right] \times PHI^g$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

In the following, the statistical evaluation of these estimations is presented.

**Results and Discussions**

It is of great interest of reservoir engineers to estimate permeability from porosity data. Carbonate rocks typically present complex diagenesis and could have several porosity types [31]. As a result, permeability in carbonate reservoirs could not easily and accurately be estimated by indirect method like using petrophysical logs. Therefore, other petrophysical parameters have to be considered to represent the heterogeneity of the rock.

In this study, we presented two models for predicting permeability in carbonate rocks from NMR data. The first model uses pore-related parameters while the second model employs geometric parameters of T2 distribution curve. Table 1 presents the tuned coefficients of the pore-related model (Eqs. 5 to 8) as well as the tuned coefficients for geometric model (Eqs. 10 to 14). The corresponding RMSE and $R^2$ of the correlations are also reported.

In Fig. 1 a cross-plot of the predicted values of models (Eqs. 5 to 8 and Eqs. 11 to 14) against measured values (core permeability) is depicted. Among pore-related correlations, Eq. 6 (RMSE=28.56, $R^2=28.26\%$) and Eq. 7 (RMSE=21.93, $R^2=26.55\%$) offer most accurate estimates of permeability. On the other hand, among geometric correlations, Eq. 11 (RMSE=27.9, $R^2=43.6\%$) and Eq. 12 (RMSE=21.9, $R^2=36.44\%$) deliver the most accurate predictions.
To further evaluate the performance of these models, predicted values of model are plotted against core data in Darian, Gadvan, and Yamama formations separately. Figs. S1 to S3, and Figs. S4 to S6 depict pore-related and geometric correlations, respectively (presented in the supplementary information). These plots indicate that in general Eqs. 11 and 12 are more capable in accurately predicting permeability. The novel geometric model is therefore, arguably, a good alternative to well-established pore-related models. In Fig. 2, a comparison is made between well-known SDR and Timur-Coates models and proposed models by this study in Darian formation. This figure shows that Eq. 12 performs much better than SDR and Timur-Coates models in estimating permeability. It should be noted that Eq. 12 is the only equation incorporating the corresponding time of amplitude, which is an indicator of pore sizes.
Fig. 2. Performance comparison between proposed models and conventional NMR-derived permeability models in Darian formation
Table 1. Tuned coefficients of proposed permeability models

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Eq. No.</th>
<th>a</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>c</th>
<th>d</th>
<th>e</th>
<th>f</th>
<th>g</th>
<th>h</th>
<th>R²</th>
<th>MSE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>1.443</td>
<td>19.0106</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11.62</td>
<td>26.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>288.8369</td>
<td>-12.827</td>
<td>19.145</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>28.56</td>
<td>28.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.487049</td>
<td>0.0764</td>
<td>0.8334</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>21.93</td>
<td>26.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>468.0663</td>
<td>0.3122</td>
<td>0.0996</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13.23</td>
<td>26.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-280.93</td>
<td>200.38</td>
<td>277.36</td>
<td>36.65</td>
<td>56.820</td>
<td>54.35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11.62</td>
<td>26.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.0630</td>
<td>4.48E-2</td>
<td>600.93</td>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>1811.46</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>0.116</td>
<td>0.0217</td>
<td>27.9</td>
<td>43.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.0099</td>
<td>1.89E-10</td>
<td>0.0453</td>
<td>0.494</td>
<td>0.0325</td>
<td>0.5056</td>
<td>0.209</td>
<td>1.4450</td>
<td>21.90</td>
<td>26.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>71.5500</td>
<td>5.675E-11</td>
<td>1.855</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25.90</td>
<td>28.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>14.4400</td>
<td>422.4</td>
<td>153.11</td>
<td>2.086E05</td>
<td>0.1285</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25.40</td>
<td>15.38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conclusions

In the current study, NMR logging is utilized to construct new empirical model for estimating absolute rock permeability. For this goal, NMR data from one offshore well in the Persian Gulf are used. Two different approaches are used for model development. In the first approach, the pore-related NMR parameters are used. In the second approach, geometric features of the humps occurring in T2 distribution curves are used. The results show that the geometric model gives slightly better estimates of rock permeability compared to the pore-related model. Notably, the presented geometric model provides reasonable reliability and accuracy (e.g. RMSE=21.9 and R²=36.44%) in comparison to available models in the literature. The developed models in this study could assist petrophysicists and reservoir engineers to better estimate the permeability of complex carbonate reservoirs.
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